LETTER • OPEN ACCESS

A new approach for assessing synergies of solar and wind power: implications for West Africa

To cite this article: Sebastian Sterl et al 2018 Environ. Res. Lett. 13 094009

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

Environmental Research Letters

LETTER

OPEN ACCESS

CrossMark

RECEIVED 12 June 2018

REVISED 7 August 2018

ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION

8 August 2018
PUBLISHED

3 September 2018

Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence.

Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.



A new approach for assessing synergies of solar and wind power: implications for West Africa

Sebastian Sterl^{1,2,3}, Stefan Liersch⁴, Hagen Koch⁴, Nicole P M van Lipzig² and Wim Thiery^{1,5}

Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Department of Hydrology and Hydraulic Engineering, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium

² KU Leuven, Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium

³ Center for Development Research (ZEF), University of Bonn, D-53113 Bonn, Germany

Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research (PIK), D-14473 Potsdam, Germany

ETH Zürich, Institute for Atmospheric and Climate Science, 8006 Zürich, Switzerland

E-mail: sebastian.sterl@vub.be

Keywords: renewable energy, power mix, West Africa, energy policy, reanalysis data, climate services Supplementary material for this article is available online

Abstract

West African countries' energy and climate policies show a pronounced focus on decarbonising power supply through renewable electricity (RE) generation. In particular, most West African states explicitly focus on hybrid mixes of variable renewable power sources-solar, wind and hydropower-in their targets for the electricity sector. Hydropower, the main current RE resource in West Africa, is strongly sensitive to monsoon rainfall variability, which has led to power crises in the past. Therefore, solar and wind power could play a stronger role in the future as countries move to power systems with high shares of RE. Considering the policy focus on diversified RE portfolios, there is a strong need to provide climate services for assessing how these resources could function together in a power mix. In this study, climate data from the state-of-the-art ERA5 reanalysis is used to assess the synergies of solar photovoltaic (PV) and wind power potential in West Africa at hourly resolution. A new metric, the stability coefficient C_{stab}, is developed to quantify the synergies of solar PV and wind power for achieving a balanced power output and limiting storage needs. Using this metric, it is demonstrated that there is potential for exploiting hybrid solar/wind power in a larger area of West Africa, covering more important centers of population and closer to existing grid structures, than would be suggested by average maps of solar and wind resource availability or capacity factor for the region. The results of this study highlight why multi-scale temporal synergies of power mixes should be considered in RE system planning from the start.

1. Introduction

Electricity demand in West Africa (WA) may increase fivefold by 2030 compared to 2013 [1]. To meet this rising demand while contributing to the objectives of the Paris Agreement [2], nearly all WA countries' energy policy targets envision a mix of renewable electricity (RE) sources in the future—typically solar, wind and hydropower. Most WA countries have targets for the RE share in power production and/or installed RE capacity in the near future [3–16]. The region-wide forecast in the ECOWAS (Economic Community Of West African States) Renewable Energy Policy (EREP) also foresees strong growth in

© 2018 The Author(s). Published by IOP Publishing Ltd

solar, wind and hydropower capacity up to 2030 [17]. These power sources are all weather- and climatedependent; therefore, if they are to be part of future power systems, their potential synergies must be estimated such that they can be optimally combined [18].

Solar, wind and hydropower potential in WA is governed by the monsoon, which causes the seasonal variability of solar potential due to changing monsoon cloud cover; that of wind potential due to the switch from Harmattan (strong) to monsoon (weak) conditions around the monsoon trough [19]; and that of water availability for rivers and reservoirs due to the seasonality of precipitation. Currently, most RE



generation in WA is hydropower; for some countries it is even the main power source [20].

Clearly, other sources would have to be added to a future power mix to ensure reliable power supply, since the overdependence of some countries on rainfall for hydropower has been highlighted as principal reason behind past power crises [21–23]. The role of other sources in future power systems with substantial RE shares would thus be to mitigate this dependence, reducing power variability and shock risk. The 100%-RE scenarios for sub-Saharan Africa in [24], in fact, see only a limited future role for hydropower in WA.

Solar photovoltaic (PV) power has excellent technical potential in WA [25], but heavy reliance on solar PV causes balancing problems on diurnal timescales [26]. Across WA, wind power potential on its own is not estimated as particularly high [27, 28]; wind speed is quite variable temporally and geographically, with the highest potential found towards the north/northwest [25, 27, 29, 30]. However, wind speed has a pronounced diurnal cycle in many places in WA: pressure gradients drive nocturnal low-level jets (NLLJ) whose signatures are already discernible between 100-200 m [31, 32], and which disappear during daytime due to thermal turbulence [19, 31–34]. Thus, electric power production from large wind turbines could have an opposite diurnal cycle to solar power production, complementing it in a hybrid power system [34]. The potential for concentrated solar power (CSP) in combination with thermal storage is also promising [35], although it has not yet been deployed anywhere in WA [25]; it is currently still deemed less economic than solar PV for the short-term future according to the EREP [17], but this is poised to change as costs of CSP have recently decreased strongly [36].

Therefore, under the right circumstances, a mix of solar PV, CSP, wind and hydropower could be a good candidate for WA power systems, if (i) solar PV and wind power synergise well on diurnal scales, limiting the need for storage and other flexibility options; (ii) hydropower (e.g. conventional, pumped-storage, run-ofriver) and CSP with storage can bring additional (e.g. seasonal, peak-shaving) stability; and (iii) the dayto-day and interannual variability of such a system remains small. Literature attempting to quantify RE resource synergies for WA is scarce; currently available data on RE potential in WA remains limited to annual average resource availabilities [1, 25, 27, 29, 30, 37]. This study is aimed at going beyond these averages by proposing a new metric for quantifying the synergies between solar PV and wind power potential for hybrid systems on diurnal and seasonal scales, and demonstrating its implications for the West African context. Solar/ wind power mixes have received substantial attention recently [38–49], but assessments for WA, such as [50], are rare.

This paper is organised as follows. Section 2 focuses on methodological aspects concerning the assessment of hybrid power mixes and describes the new metric proposed in this study. Section 3 presents the main results. Section 4 brings forth several discussion points, and section 5 ends with conclusions. Methodological details are given in the supplementary material available online at stacks.iop.org/ERL/13/094009/mmedia.

2. Methodology and approach

2.1. Calculation of capacity factors (CFs)

In this study, the CF of solar PV cells is modeled based on monocrystalline silicon cell efficiency as function of global horizontal irradiation (GHI) G and air temperature T, following [51]. The wind turbine CF is modeled following [52] as function of hub-height wind speed V, based on Vestas V126-3.3 turbines with 117 m hub-height and 3.3 MW rated power, the type currently used for one of WA's largest wind power projects, in Taïba Ndiaye, Senegal [53]. (See supplementary material A for details.) The state-of-the-art European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) ERA5 reanalysis is used to obtain G, T and V at 31 km spatial and hourly temporal resolution (see supplementary material B). Using ERA5 data allows following best-practice recommendations on estimating hub-height wind speed [54] (see supplementary material C).

Our domain covers $[4^{\circ}N-25^{\circ}N; 26^{\circ}W-16^{\circ}E]$, covering all 15 ECOWAS member countries. Figure 1 shows solar and wind *CF* across the domain, using *CF* = 0.15 as lower threshold (stricter than [55, 56]). Solar potential is high on average, with *CF* generally increasing northward (due to diminishing monsoon influence) and reaching 0.30 over large parts of the territory. Wind power potential is weaker and concentrated in the north, with Mali, Niger and Senegal the only ECO-WAS countries having substantial areas with *CF* \ge 0.15.

A prerequisite for using ERA5 data is an evaluation of the relevant reanalysis parameters by comparison to observations from the region. Irradiance, nearsurface temperature, and near-surface wind speed measured by 15 meteorological stations, covering up to three years of data with sub-hourly resolution, spread across four WA countries, have been compared to hourly data from the corresponding ERA5 grid cells. An evaluation has been undertaken of (i) whether biases exist in ERA5, through the criterion that the Perkins skill score (S_{score}), reflecting the common area of probability density functions (PDFs) from reanalysis and observations, is larger than 70% as skill indicator [57, 58], and (ii) whether ERA5 reproduces diurnal wind cycles, using the correlation coefficient C_{corr} between reanalysis and observations.

This evaluation indicates that the median S_{score} is higher than 70% for 12 (GHI), 15 (temperature) and 11 (wind speed) out of 15 stations (see supplementary material D). For those stations, therefore, more than 70% of observed PDFs is captured by ERA5





in a majority of recorded months. $C_{\rm corr}$ is found to be very high, between 80% and 90% on average, also in individual cases where $S_{\rm score} < 70\%$ for wind speed, indicating that deviations are mostly mean value biases, not misrepresentations of diurnal wind cycles. In conclusion, we find no objections to using ERA5 for understanding solar-wind synergies across WA.

2.2. Power mixes

Several criteria exist for how mixing different RE sources can positively impact power systems, such as through smoothing (reducing variability) of power output [43, 44, 47, 49] or supply-demand balance [41, 42, 45, 48, 59], or lowering system costs [50, 60–62]. These are usually interlinked: e.g. smoothing of electric power output implies lower variability and fewer shocks, thus less need for storage and lower balancing costs [63]. In this study, smoothness of power output is used as criterion, i.e. without explicitly considering demand profiles; see section 4 for a discussion.

To quantify hybrid power output smoothness, many studies use statistical measures, such as (anti) correlation coefficients between power production from different resources [40, 43, 44, 47, 59], or variabilities of energy balance shapes, assuming (multi)annual average power production is equal to average demand [41–43, 48]. Such approaches have been valuable in exploring synergies between renewable resources in various regions. However, when applied on diurnal timescales, they can cause the following issues:

1. Locations where resources are complementary (one is high when the other is low, and vice versa) will 'score high' even if actual resource strength is too low for practical exploitation, because using correlation coefficients between potential generation time series fails to put realistic constraints on the implied installed capacity. For instance, if a wind power potential cycle is complementary to solar PV potential—i.e. more wind at nighttime than during daytime—but the wind is weak, diurnal anticorrelation coefficients are high, but one would need to install large amounts of generating capacity running at very low efficiency to have a chance of using the wind resource for balancing. 2. Locations where resources are not complementary, but strong enough to still be useful, are undervalued. For instance, if wind does not vary diurnally, but is strong enough to result in high wind turbine *CF*, wind power could still replace solar PV power during nighttime, simply by virtue of the wind being strong, not by virtue of high anticorrelation coefficients.

Several studies have addressed (1) by considering the *CF* of individual resources *before* calculating correlation coefficients [45, 47, 48]. A realistic constraint is then put on the amount of installed capacity *a priori*, removing locations where *CF* falls below a certain threshold, before assessing complementarities. This, however, also entails limitations:

3. It removes locations where resource synergy may result in good balancing but that fall just outside the individual resource *CF* threshold, for example if wind is strong at night, but too weak during daytime to move the average *CF* above the threshold. Such locations may be similarly suitable for hybrid systems as others where both resources are strong but less complementary.

Here, we attempt to address these issues by introducing a new metric, the *stability coefficient* C_{stab} , which represents the reduction in the coefficient of variance (c_v) [43–45, 49] on diurnal timescales of the *CF* of a hybrid solar/wind system with equal capacity for solar and wind (1:1 capacity ratio), as compared to a solar-only system. It is thus a measure of the added value of wind power to balance daily electric power production from solar PV. In our view, using a solar-only system as reference is instructive for WA, as it has the most widespread potential in WA of modern RE sources. Mathematically, C_{stab} is defined as follows:

$$C_{\text{stab}} = 1 - \frac{c_{\nu,\text{mix}}}{c_{\nu,s}}$$

= 1 - $\frac{\sqrt{\sum_{\text{day}} (CF_{\text{mix}}(t) - \overline{CF_{\text{mix}}})^2}}{\sqrt{\sum_{\text{day}} (CF_s(t) - \overline{CF_s})^2}} \frac{\overline{CF_s}}{\overline{CF_{\text{mix}}}}.$ (1)







Here, $CF_{\text{mix}} = (CF_s + CF_w)/2$, an overlined \overline{CF} denotes a daily average, t is the time step (sub-daily), and subscripts s, w, mix denote solar, wind and hybrid mix, respectively. By definition, $C_{\text{stab}} \leq 1$, with $C_{\text{stab}} = 0$ meaning that a hybrid solar/wind system does not improve balancing relative to solar-only (wind and solar output having the same relative shape) and $C_{\text{stab}} = 1$ meaning the sum of solar and wind power output is constant over time (perfect synergy). We note that, for a more general solar-wind capacity ratio of n:m, one should use the expression $CF_{\text{mix}} = (nCF_s + mCF_w)/(n + m)$.

C_{stab} addresses the limitations of correlationsbased approaches, because it takes the CF of hypothetically installed solar panels and wind turbines into account. This is not the case when calculating solarwind correlation coefficients, which can give similar values for solar with strong wind as for solar with weak wind, i.e. independent of CF, as long as the strong and weak wind have similar normalised cycles. In contrast, high complementarity only results in high values of C_{stab} when the average resource strength is reasonable, while low complementarity does not necessarily result in low values of C_{stab} if the resource strength is high enough. This is shown schematically in figure 2: low complementarity does not preclude usefulness in a hybrid system (first panel from the left); high complementarity does not automatically mean usefulness in a hybrid system (second panel), but only if resource strength is sufficient (third panel); the latter, however, does not imply usefulness if complementarity is low (fourth panel).

To our knowledge, this study is the first time a hybrid metric like C_{stab} , combining information on complementarity and on *CF*, has been benchmarked against using average *CF* maps. The coefficient of variation itself [43–45, 49], on which C_{stab} is based, or alternative fluctuation indices [64] have been used before to quantify resource complementarities, but none of these works studied the implications of combining such metrics with information on *CFs*. The mathematical hybrid index for synergies presented by [65] goes in this direction, but it works optimally only if power output shapes are sinusoids, and its

implications for RE potential estimation vis-à-vis using average *CF* maps were not studied. The latter topic, however, has been touched upon by [66], who noted that compromises in solar PV *CF* may be beneficial for synergies with other power sources.

Criteria like C_{stab} can also be used on seasonal scales to assess the stability of a hybrid solar/wind/ hydropower mix [49]; the only difference may then be choosing a different baseline than solar power variability, since this may be smaller on seasonal timescales (e.g. in WA, see figure 3(b)) than that of wind and hydropower potential; in WA it is the latter two that would necessitate seasonal balancing. However, since large-scale hydropower is dispatchable, and solar and wind could conceivably be used during the dry season for e.g. water pumping to fill reservoirs [24, 67], this is not only a question of weather and climate, but also of system operation.

In this study, we calculate C_{stab} for solar and wind capacity installed in the same spatial location, but it could also be applied to analyse synergies between spatially separated power stations if transmission is taken into account; see section 4 for a discussion.

3. Results

Here, we first discuss hybrid solar/wind systems for one example location (Taïba Ndiaye, Senegal; see supplementary material figure 4), before scaling up the analysis across WA.

3.1. Case study: Taïba Ndiaye

Figure 3(a) shows hourly solar and wind *CF* by month for 2017 in (the ERA5 grid cell containing) Taïba Ndiaye. Figure 3(b) visualises the corresponding monthly average *CF*. These plots reveal that (i) the impact of the monsoon on solar power production is reflected mostly by changing power output variability (larger interquartile range), not so much its average; (ii) the impact of the monsoon on wind power production is pronounced, with the strongest wind resources in and after the Harmattan period (November/December–April), and a substantial drop in





Figure 5. (a) Daily average cycles by month from 2017 EKAS data for the capacity factors (*CF*) of the type of solar panels and wind turbines considered in this study, in the grid cell containing Taïba Ndiaye, Senegal. Shaded areas are interquartile ranges. All times in UTC. (b) Corresponding monthly average *CF* in the same location. (c) Monthly average C_{stab} for 1:1 hybrid solar-wind systems in the same location. The bars represent standard deviations, the size of the markers is proportional to CF_w from (b). (d) Scatterplot of CF_w versus C_{stab} for all months in the period 2009–2017 (108 values) in the same location. The two filled circles show that a similar reduction in power output variability would have been achieved in different months with wind turbines running at very different *CF*.



Figure 4. Contourplot of the annual average values for C_{stab} versus CF_w for all land grid cells in the West African domain considered in this study. The black line represents a hypothetical, constant wind power output on daily scales, i.e. a situation where $CF_w(t) = \overline{CF_w}$, which gives the expression $C_{\text{stab}} = \overline{CF_w}/(\overline{CF_s} + \overline{CF_w})$. Box *A* indicates all cells meeting $CF_w \ge 0.15$; Box *B* indicates all cells meeting $C_{\text{stab}} \ge 0.25$ (see text). Note that the extent of the axes has been limited for clarity; a limited amount of cells falls outside of this domain, with very high average CF_w and C_{stab} .

resources during the monsoon from May–October/ November; and (iii) the potential wind power production may indeed have an opposite diurnal cycle as compared to the solar cycle in many months. To further investigate the possible solar-wind interplay in a hybrid system in Taïba Ndiaye, the monthly average C_{stab} is plotted in figure 3(c). In this figure, the marker size is proportional to the monthly CF_w . Overall, C_{stab} broadly follows the pattern of CF_w , which is as expected: since CF_s varies much less by season than CF_w , it is the latter that controls the seasonal shape of C_{stab} . A stronger wind resource results in better hybrid output balancing, especially when the strongest winds blow during the night.

The figure also reveals the added value of the parameter C_{stab} to the individual $CF_{s,w}$. Compare, for instance, the values in January and April: these months have nearly the same C_{stab} , but figure 3(b) shows that the difference in wind power production between them would be nearly ten percentage points. Thus, despite a substantially stronger wind resource in April than in January, the potential of this extra wind for balancing solar power production is limited. Figure 3(a) reveals why: the difference between wind power output in January and April consists mainly of the *daytime* wind resource being weaker in January than in April. For a hybrid system, in which solar power would be the main power source during daytime, this is no substantial disadvantage.

This can be more clearly visualised with a scatterplot of C_{stab} versus CF_w , as in figure 3(d), revealing different regimes of influence of the wind resource on hybrid power balance. This plot includes all monthly average CF_w and C_{stab} values from the years 2009 -2017. For $CF_w \lesssim 0.12$, C_{stab} scales more or less linearly with CF_w , so in a hybrid system, the shape of the wind cycle matters little if CF_w is very low, and the only contribution of the wind is then to (sometimes) provide (low) power during nighttime. However, as CF_w \gtrsim 0.15, the points scatter, i.e. beyond a certain threshold, the wind cycle plays a more significant role in the added value of using wind in a hybrid solar/wind system than the CF itself. The points representing January and April 2017 have been highlighted for clarity. There are more extreme examples (filled circles in figure 3(d): in one of the months, wind turbines running at $CF_w = 0.34$ would have led to an average reduction of nearly 50% in daily power output variability in a 1:1 solar/wind system, but in another month, the same would already have been possible with wind turbines running at a much lower $CF_{w} = 0.18.$

3.2. Scaling up to regional level

We now turn from temporal to spatial scales. Figure 4 shows a contourplot of the annual average C_{stab} versus annual average CF_w for all land grid cells in our domain, from the ERA5 years 2009-2017 (the plot thus contains nine points for each land grid cell in the domain). This is the 'spatial' analogue of figure 3(d), which showed C_{stab} against CF_w for different months in a single location. The black line represents 'constant wind power': the hypothetical situation where CF_{w} (not V, since CF_w does not scale linearly with V) has the same diurnal average, but no diurnal cycle, e.g. $CF_w(t) = \overline{CF_w}$. One can then analytically derive the expression $C_{\text{stab}} = \overline{CF_w} / (\overline{CF_s} + \overline{CF_w})$ from equation (1). Since $\overline{CF_w}$ and $\overline{CF_s}$ differ by day and location, the black line is this expression's spatiotemporal average across all days and land grid cells. (One could instead also construct another contourplot from values of annual averages per grid cell, which would be clustered very close to the black line.) It is clear that Cstab values are mainly concentrated above this line. In practical terms, this means that the added value of wind in balancing power output in tandem with solar PV power is 'better than its average' in WA: the diurnal wind power potential cycle is, on average, complementary to the solar cycle.

Figure 4 can highlight the consequences of considering only average values of $CF_{s,w}$ (figure 1) in selecting locations for RE production. The plot contains two dashed squares, denoted A and B. Square A represents $CF_w \ge 0.15$. A map indicating suitability of locations for wind power on the basis of $CF_w \ge 0.15$ would sample all cells within that square—essentially, all cells in the north of the region in figure 1(b). However, since the scatter cloud is concave, sampling



square A leaves out many cells where comparable balancing would be achieved, despite not meeting $CF_w \ge 0.15$. Sampling all cells whose C_{stab} falls within the same range as that of the cells meeting $CF_w \ge 0.15$ corresponds to square *B*, representing $C_{\text{stab}} \gtrsim 0.25$. Thus, if usefulness of wind in reducing hybrid power output variability were the criterion, instead of usefulness of wind as standalone, one could find substantially (here, 30%) more cells meeting this criterion. It is also to be noted that nearly all cells sampled additionally by square B lie above the constant wind power line (many more, relatively, than in square A), which confirms what was referenced in section 2.2: with high solarwind synergies, there are many locations that may be interesting for hybrid systems even if wind as standalone source would not be classified as viable there.

Figure 5 shows a map indicating (i) which locations would be 'suitable' for standalone wind power with the criterion $CF_w \ge 0.15$ (in gray), and (ii) all *additional* locations that would be 'suitable' for hybrid solar/wind power with the criterion $C_{\text{stab}} \gtrsim 0.25$ (in colours). For the latter, the blue to red shades indicate for how many years the criterion is met in the period 2009–2017 (signal robustness). Blue colours indicate that this location is (i) instead classified as marginally suitable for standalone wind in some years (near $CF_w = 0.15$ in square *A*), or (ii) instead classified as unsuitable for hybrid systems in some years (near $C_{\text{stab}} = 0.25$ in square *B*).

The main 'hotspots' of additional locations are a band stretching across the Soudano-Sahelian zone [68] and covering large parts of Senegal, The Gambia, southern Mali, Burkina Faso, southern Niger, northern Nigeria, the Benue basin, and small areas in the very northeast of Guiné-Bissau, northwest of Guinée-Conakry and north of Benin; plus offshore locations close to Ghana, Togo, Benin and Nigeria. (Note that offshore cells were not included in figure 4 for purposes of clarity-these locations tend to have much higher average CF_w than onshore cells and would have basically added a separate 'cluster' in that figure.) These zones are much closer to hotspots of population density, and much closer to existing transmission grid lines [25], than the northern areas where the criterion $CF_w \ge 0.15$ is met. Despite not appearing on typical wind power suitability maps [1, 25, 27, 28, 30, 69], they may thus be important to consider for energy policymakers, power system planners and other stakeholders, especially since most countries containing such zones have included wind power targets in their NDCs or energy policies (see table 1). While such an approach can be applied anywhere worldwide, it may be particularly relevant for WA, since it is not known as a region of particularly high wind resources, and by looking at wind power as standalone, one would indeed tend to conclude that its potential in the region is rather limited.





criterion for viable locations (gray) and considering the usefulness of wind turbines for balancing out solar panel output on diurnal timescales, expressed with C_{stab} (colours indicating the amount of years in the period 2009–2017 in which this signal is found from ERA5 data). Clearly, the first method underestimates the extent of suitable locations as compared to the second.

Table 1. List of countries in WA containing (parts of) the coloured area in figure 5 with policy targets pertaining to renewable energy (mixes) including solar, wind and hydropower.

Country	Energy policy targets for solar/wind/hydro	Source
Niger	250 MW RE by 2030, of which 130 MW hydro and 20 MW wind	[3]
Mali	More than 100 MW of renewable power capacity installed by 2020, mainly solar, wind, small hydro and biomass, to attain a 10% share of RE in the 'electricity mix'	[4]
Nigeria	Ensure 10% of hydro in power production by 2030 and 6% of solar; no quantified wind power target, but 'developing wind energy as an alternative renewable energy resource' to 'integrate this with other energy resources into a balanced energy and electricity mix' is mentioned as key objective.	[5]
Gambia	44 MW hydro, 50 MW solar, 20 MW wind installed capacity by 2030	[6]
Guiné-Bissau	72 MW of RE capacity installed by 2030, of which 53 MW hydro, 15 MW solar and 2 MW wind power	[7]
Guinée-Conakry	30% of electricity production from renewable sources by 2030 (excl. biomass); 50 MW installed capacity of wind and solar and 1650 MW of hydropower	[8]
Ghana	RE penetration of 10% by 2030, with 150–250 MW utility-scale solar power, 50–150 MW utility-scale wind power, 150–300 MW small/medium hydropower	[11]
Togo	67.5 MW of solar, 24 MW of wind, 115 MW of medium/large hydro, and 70 MW of small hydropower capacity by 2030	[12]
Senegal	Achieve rate of energy independence of at least 15% by 2025 from using renewable sources (without bio- mass); solar, wind and hydropower all mentioned as candidates	[15]
Burkina Faso	50% of RE in 'energy mix' by 2025; wind energy potential 'worthwhile to evaluate'	[16]

4. Discussion

Here we highlight several notes of caution and provide recommendations for future studies. First, focusing on smoothing power output does not imply that a flat power output is the desired outcome. This is (i) practically impossible with fluctuating renewables, and (ii) unnecessary, since demand profiles are not flat either. We use a hypothetical solar/wind hybrid system with equal capacity for solar PV and wind (1:1 capacity ratio) for demonstration; this ratio could be 'tuned' to better match demand. For instance, if demand has a strong diurnal shape, with higher demand during daytime, a higher share of solar capacity than of wind could be considered. The highlighted areas in figure 5 would expand, contract, and/or shift somewhat if the ratio were changed. However, this does not affect the generality of our conclusion, that considering hybrid systems from the start provides information that separate assessments

of solar and wind cannot. Considering demand profiles in this study would have caused large uncertainties; load profile estimations are available for specific locations in WA, see e.g. [70], but subject to high uncertainty, as is widely the case in rural settings in developing economies [71], and poised to undergo substantial changes as energy demand rises across WA. Further, demand management by shifting certain flexible loads to specific times can also be an option [56]. We therefore believe that this supply-side view may be a useful starting point.

Second, this approach is an *indication* of what can be added to RE potential assessments, not a final product. Several factors influence the precise outcome, such as (i) stricter criteria on CF_w and C_{stab} for 'feasibility' (tending to shrink and/or move the zones in figure 5 northwards), (ii) wind turbine type [72], and (iii) data product. Therefore we do not claim that the best possible assumptions have been used: this remains to be seen through comparison of data



products and observations. It has been mentioned, for instance, that reanalyses may not be the best available products for solar irradiation [47]. However, using a satellite-based data product for calculating CF_s is unlikely to change our broad conclusions, since the main constraint that solar potential puts on this analysis is the diurnal solar cycle itself, not the uncertainty therein due to cloud cover.

Third, the lack of measurements at 100 m height constitutes a barrier towards validating ERA5 data. While ERA5 near-surface wind speeds have been compared to available measurement data (see supplementary material C–D) and no principal objections to using these was found, this could not be done for 100 m wind speeds. Data at comparably high altitudes from radiosondes in the AMMA campaign have previously been assimilated into ECMWF reanalysis [73, 74]; and data from wind profilers and radiosondes in newer campaigns such as DACCIWA [32] could conceivably be used for evaluation in the future; such campaigns, however, typically focus on monsoon months, when winds are weakest and thus of least value for energy applications.

Fourth, the ERA5 spatial resolution, while finer than previous reanalyses, is still not sufficient to discern local corridors where wind speed may be high. This is especially relevant in regions with pronounced orography, such as the Fouta Djallon mountains in Guinée-Conakry. It also means that coastal regions may be suboptimally represented: coastal grid cells may represent averages of land and sea conditions.

Fifth, uncertainty ranges of wind (and sometimes solar) power output can be rather broad (see section 3.1). Options for reducing the risks posed by day-to-day variability must still be explored in systems with excellent solar/wind(/hydro) synergies, for example through storage technologies and export/ import between countries/regions [24, 26, 75]. Storage technologies are set to play a substantial role in power systems with high shares of renewables in the future; while synergies between solar PV and wind power can be exploited to reduce storage needs and costs, as can demand management options, that does not mean the need for storage can be eliminated. Storage technologies such as thermal storage and pumped hydropower play an important role in near-term high-RE scenarios for sub-Saharan Africa [24, 56]. The methods presented here could thus contribute to estimating such storage needs for WA. Spatially distributing power-generating stations and trading power between regions can also smooth out volatility and thus reduce shocks in power production [76]. The expanded Sahelian area which was suggested as suitable for hybrid solar-wind exploitation in this study (figure 5) already hosts existing grids [25] (as opposed to the more northern territories, where the wind is strongest), and therefore this analysis may also be of interest to West African power pool planning. We therefore intend to focus future research on the

potential for balancing RE generation in WA through storage and transmission.

Sixth, given the potential for CSP as alternative way to harness the Sun's energy [35], one may wonder what our findings imply for future CSP deployment in WA. The EREP, in fact, targets similar amounts of grid-connected capacity to be installed for solar PV, CSP, and wind power by 2030 in WA-about 1 GW for each. All three of solar PV, CSP, and wind power are thus to be viewed as important components of future WA power systems. Due to this matching of scales, the synergies between solar PV and wind power may be quite relevant on the near- to medium-term planning for CSP in WA, since they will codetermine the future needs for storage capacity in CSP installations. Good synergies will reduce balancing and storage needs, and therefore future CSP costs [36], which are currently the bottleneck for CSP deployment according to the EREP [17]. An assessment of possible complementarities between CSP with storage on one hand, and solar PV and wind power on the other, will strongly depend on the amount of assumed storage capacity and time [36, 77-81], and therefore goes beyond the scope of the current work. However, such an assessment should be undertaken as part of our intended future research into the roles of storage and transmission. (NB: we have checked that, if one would replace solar PV by CSP without storage in this study using the parameterisation of [82], conclusions on solar-wind synergies would be very similar, as C_{stab} does not differ substantially with the choice of solar PV or CSP in the absence of storage.)

Seventh, the results in figure 4 pertain to annual averages of CF_w and C_{stab} . One may be interested in other parameters than averages: maxima, for instance, if the wind resource were only used during part of the year (in Harmattan conditions), and replaced by hydropower during the monsoon. Averages are then less interesting, since the additional locations in square *B* could theoretically be locations where C_{stab} is above threshold owing to higher averages during the monsoon, when wind power would not be crucial anyway. We have checked that taking *maximum* annual CF_w and C_{stab} (i.e. selecting the best month for hybrid solar/wind) leads to the same conclusions presented in section 3.2. This seems logical, given that NLLJs show their strongest signature during Harmattan season [19].

Eighth, analyses like this one are meant to *contribute* to decisionmaking and target-setting in energy policy, not *determine* it. Local circumstances—topographical, socio-economical, legal—should be considered when selecting optimal power plant locations. Several studies have looked at relevant criteria besides resource strength, such as maximum population coverage [83, 84], or exclusion of zones with high agricultural activity, protected/prohibited areas, steep slopes, legal constraints, etc [27]. Studies like this can help pinpoint locations where such criteria should be further investigated.



Last, hybrid RE systems may be susceptible to compound (e.g. low solar plus low wind) events [67, 85]; the methods developed here may be helpful in assessing these in the future.

5. Conclusion

In this study, the ERA5 reanalysis product was used to assess the synergies of solar PV and wind power in WA down to hourly scales. Both of these sources play an important role in many West African countries' energy policy and projected power mixes. We demonstrate that, even though the average wind power potential is not very high across WA, being concentrated in the sparsely-populated north, wind could still be a useful resource in hybrid power systems in a much more extended area, close to centers of population and existing grids in the Soudano-Sahelian zone. This is because at hub heights of large wind turbines, winds blow stronger during nighttime than daytime, especially during the dry season. Wind power could thus provide diurnal stability to hybrid power systems with a substantial solar PV component and limited hydropower resources. To quantify this, the stability coefficient C_{stab} was introduced to show the suitability of combining solar and wind into a hybrid system. We argue, using a case study and regional upscaling, that consideration of hybrid systems should happen right from the start of RE resource assessments, through a parameter such as C_{stab}, not as second step after establishing individual resource strengths.

This research can help inform policymakers in WA about their countries' RE potential, and allay fears of a spatial mismatch between renewable resources on one hand, and population and existing grids on another [86]. It can also help provide a framework for generating high-resolution input for energy models for WA (countries) to assist power systems planning [87]. The methods and datasets used in our research are globally applicable, and could hopefully contribute to enhancing climate services for sub-Saharan Africa, which are in short supply [88, 89].

Acknowledgments

This work was performed under the project CIREG (Climate Information for Integrated Renewable Electricity Generation in West Africa), which is part of ERA4CS, an ERA-NET Co-fund action initiated by JPI Climate, funded by BMBF (DE), FORMAS (SE), BELSPO (BE), and IFD (DK) with co-funding by the European Union's Horizon 2020 Framework Program (Grant 690462). All figures using ERA5 data contain modified Copernicus Climate Change Service information [2018], and we acknowledge the European Center for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) for providing the ERA5 reanalysis. The evaluation was done using observational data available via the data portal WADI (https://wascal-dataportal.org) of the West African Science Service Center on Climate Change and Adapted Land Use (WASCAL), and the World Bank Group's Energydata.info portal (https:// energydata.info). Special thanks go to Seyni Salack from WASCAL for helpful advice on evaluation data. We also thank Ann van Griensven, Holger Hoff, Rabani Adamou, Gregor Vulturius, Kirsten Halsnæs, John Lamers, and three anonymous reviewers for valuable input to the contents of the paper. S Sterl thanks Irit Eguavoen and Roberto Cantoni for their hospitality.

ORCID iDs

Sebastian Sterl (1) https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1078-5561

Stefan Liersch liktps://orcid.org/0000-0003-2778-3861

Hagen Koch (1) https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9106-8382

Wim Thiery (1) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5183-6145

References

- IRENA 2015 Africa 2030: Roadmap for a Renewable Energy Future IRENA Abu Dhabi http://irena.org/ documentdownloads/publications/irena_africa_2030_ remap_2015_low-res.pdf
- [2] Antwi-Agyei P, Dougill A J, Agyekum T P and Stringer L C 2018 Alignment between nationally determined contributions and the sustainable development goals for West Africa *Clim. Policy* 18 1–17
- [3] République du Niger 2015 Contribution Prévue Déterminée au Niveau National - CPDN (INDC) du Niger République du Niger http://www4.unfccc.int/ndcregistry/PublishedDocuments/ Niger%20First/Niger-INDC-versionfinale.pdf
- [4] République du Mali 2016 Contribution Déterminée au Niveau National République du Mali http://www4.unfccc.int/ ndcregistry/PublishedDocuments/Mali%20First/Mali_ revised%20NDC.pdf
- [5] Ministry of Power of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 2015 National Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Policy (NREEEP) Approved by FEC for the Electricity Sector Ministry of Power of the Federal Republic of Nigeria http://power.gov. ng/download/NREEE%20POLICY%202015-%20FEC% 20APPROVED%20COPY.pdf
- [6] Ministry of Energy of The Gambia 2015 Sustainable Energy Action Plan for The Gambia Ministry of Energy of The Gambia http://ecreee.org/sites/default/files/events/sustainable_ energy_action_plan_the_gambia.pdf
- [7] Ministério da Energia e Indústria da Guiné-Bissau 2017 Plano de Ação Nacional no Sector das Energias Renováveis (PANER) da Guiné-Bissau, Período2015–2030 Ministério da Energia e Indústria da Guiné-Bissau http://se4all.ecreee.org/sites/ default/files/plano_de_acao_nacional_no_sector_das_ energias_renovaveis_paner_para_a_guine-bissau.pdf
- [8] République de Guinée 2016 Contribution Prévue Déterminée au Niveau National (CPDN) au titre de la Convention des Nations Unies sur le Changement Climatique République de Guinée http://www4.unfccc.int/ndcregistry/PublishedDocuments/ Guinea%20First/INDC_Guinee_version%20finale.pdf
- [9] Republic of Cabo Verde 2015 Intended Nationally Determined Contribution of Cabo Verde Republic of Cabo Verde http:// www4.unfccc.int/ndcregistry/PublishedDocuments/Cabo% 20Verde%20First/Cabo_Verde_INDC_.pdf
- [10] République de la Côte d'Ivoire 2015 Contributions Prévues Déterminées au Niveau National de la Côte d'Ivoire République

de la Côte d'Ivoire http://www4.unfccc.int/ndcregistry/ PublishedDocuments/C%C3%B4te%20d%27Ivoire% 20First/INDC_CI_22092015.pdf

- [11] Republic of Ghana 2015 Ghana's Intended Nationally Determined Contribution (INDC) Republic of Ghana http:// www4.unfccc.int/ndcregistry/PublishedDocuments/Ghana %20First/GH_INDC_2392015.pdf
- [12] Ministère des Mines et de l'Energie de la République du Togo 2015 Plan d'Actions National des Energies Renouvelables (PANER) Ministère des Mines et de l'Energie de la République du Togo https://se4all-africa.org/fileadmin/uploads/se4all/ Documents/Country_PANER/Togo_Plan_d_Actions_ National_des_Energies_Renouvelables.pdf
- [13] Ministère du Cadre de Vie et du Développement Durable de la République du Bénin 2015 Première Contribution Déterminée au Niveau National du Bénin au titre de l'Accord de Paris Ministère du Cadre de Vie et du Développement Durable de la République du Bénin https://se4all-africa.org/fileadmin/ uploads/se4all/Documents/Country_PANER/Togo_Plan_ d_Actions_National_des_Energies_Renouvelables.pdf
- [14] Ministry of Lands, Mines and Energy of Liberia 2009 National Energy Policy: An Agenda for Action and Economic and Social development Ministry of Lands, Mines and Energy of Liberia http://moci.gov.lr/doc/National%20Energy%20Policy% 202009.pdf
- [15] Ministère de l'Energie et Développement des Energies Renouvelables de la République du Senegal 2015 Strategie Nationale de Développement des Energies Renouvelables au Senegal 2016–2020 Ministère de l'Energie et Développement des EnergiesRenouvelables de la République du Senegal http://energie.gouv.sn/sites/default/files/document%20de %20strategie_0.pdf
- [16] Ministére des Mines et de l'Energie du Burkina Faso 2013 Politique Sectorielle de l'Energie 2014–2025 Ministére des Mines et de l'Energie du Burkina Faso http://sips.gov.bf/img_tmp_ fichiers/MME-PS-52.pdf
- [17] ECREEE 2015 ECOWAS Renewable Energy Policy ECREEE http://ecreee.org/sites/default/files/documents/ecowas_ renewable_energy_policy.pdf
- [18] IEA 2017 Getting Wind and Sun onto the Grid: a Manual for Policy Makers IEA https://iea.org/publications/insights/ insightpublications/Getting_Wind_and_Sun.pdf
- [19] Lothon M, Saïd F, Lohou F and Campistron B 2008
 Observation of the diurnal cycle in the low troposphere of West Africa Mon. Weather Rev. 136 3477–500
- [20] IEA 2017 World Energy Statistics and Balances International Energy Agency, Paris
- [21] Eshun M E and Amoako-Tuffour J 2016 A review of the trends in Ghana's power sector *Energy, Sustainability Soc.* 69
- [22] Arfi R 2005 Seasonal ecological changes and water level variations in the Sélingué Reservoir (Mali, West Africa) *Phys. Chem. Earth* A/B/C 30 432–41
- [23] Oyerinde G T, Hountondji F C C, Lawin A E, Odofin A J, Afouda A and Diekkrueger B 2017 Improving hydro-climatic projections with bias-correction in Sahelian Niger Basin, West Africa Climate 5
- [24] Barasa M, Bogdanov D, Oyewo A S and Breyer C 2018 A cost optimal resolution for sub-Saharan Africa powered by 100% renewables in 2030 *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 92 440–57
- [25] ECOWREX 2017 Map Viewer http://ecowrex.org/mapView/ index.php
- [26] Fattori F, Anglani N, Staffell I and Pfenninger S 2017 High solar photovoltaic penetration in the absence of substantial wind capacity: storage requirements and effects on capacity adequacy *Energy* 137 193–208
- [27] Mentis D, Hermann S, Howells M, Welsch M and Siyal S H 2015 Assessing the technical wind energy potential in Africa: a GIS-based approach *Renew. Energy* 83 110–25
- [28] Moner-Girona M, Bódis K, Huld T, Kougias I and Szabó S 2016 Universal access to electricity in Burkina Faso: scaling-up renewable energy technologies *Environ. Res. Lett.* 11 084010
- [29] IRENA 2014 Estimating the Renewable Energy Potential in Africa: a GIS-based Approach IRENA Abu Dhabi http://irena.

org/-/media/Files/IRENA/Agency/Publication/2014/ IRENA_Africa_Resource_Potential_Aug2014.pdf

- [30] IRENA 2016 Suitability Maps for Grid-connected and off-Grid Solar and Wind Projects for West Africa IRENA http://irena. org/-/media/Files/IRENA/Agency/Publication/2016/ IRENA_Atlas_investment_West_Africa_2016.pdf
- [31] Abdou K, Parker D J, Brooks B, Kalthoff N and Lebel T 2010 The diurnal cycle of lower boundary-layer wind in the West African monsoon *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.* **136** 66–76
- [32] Kalthoff N et al 2018 An overview of the diurnal cycle of the atmospheric boundary layer during the West African monsoon season: results from the 2016 observational campaign Atmos. Chem. Phys. 18 2913–28
- [33] Sultan B, Janicot S and Drobinski P 2007 Characterization of the diurnal cycle of the West African monsoon around the monsoon onset J. Clim. 20 4014–32
- [34] Madougou S, Saïd F, Campistron B, Lothon M and Kebe C F 2012 Results of UHF radar observation of the nocturnal lowlevel jet for wind energy applications Acta Geophys. 60 1413–53
- [35] Yushchenko A, de Bono A, Chatenoux B, Patel M K and Ray N 2018 GIS-based assessment of photovoltaic (PV) and concentrated solar power (CSP) generation potential in West Africa Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 81 2088–103
- [36] IRENA 2018 Renewable Power Generation Costs in 2017 IRENA http://irena.org/-/media/Files/IRENA/Agency/ Publication/2016/IRENA_Atlas_investment_West_Africa_ 2016.pdf
- [37] Kling H, Stanzel P and Fuchs M 2016 Regional assessment of the hydropower potential of rivers in West Africa Energy Procedia 97 286–93
- [38] Solomon A A, Faiman D and Meron G 2010 Grid matching of large-scale wind energy conversion systems, alone and in tandem with large-scale photovoltaic systems: an Israeli case study *Energy Policy* 38 7070–81
- [39] Solomon A A, Kammen D M and Callaway D 2016 Investigating the impact of wind-solar complementarities on energy storage requirement and the corresponding supply reliability criteria *Appl. Energy* 168 130–45
- [40] Monforti F, Huld T, Bódis K, Vitali L, D'Isidoro M and Lacal-Arántegui R 2014 Assessing complementarity of wind and solar resources for energy production in Italy. A Monte Carlo approach *Renew. Energy* 63 576–86
- [41] Heide D, von Bremen L, Greiner M, Hoffmann C, Speckmann M and Bofinger S 2010 Seasonal optimal mix of wind and solar power in a future, highly renewable Europe *Renew. Energy* 35 2483–9
- [42] Jaber R, François B and Gargouri E 2016 Analysis of wind/ solar power mix for 30% and 100% renewable scenarios in Tunisia 4ème Conf. Int. Energies Renouvelables (CIER-2016) Proc. Energy Technol. 16 85–91
- [43] Hoicka C E and Rowlands I H 2011 Solar and wind resource complementarity: advancing options for renewable electricity integration in Ontario, Canada Renew. Energy 36 97–107
- [44] Zhang H, Cao Y, Zhang Y and Terzija V 2018 Quantitative synergy assessment of regional wind-solar energy resources based on MERRA reanalysis data *Appl. Energy* **216** 172–82
- [45] Jerez S, Trigo R M, Sarsa A, Lorente-Plazas R, Pozo-Vázquez D and Montávez J P 2013 Spatio-temporal complementarity between solar and wind power in the Iberian Peninsula *Energy Procedia* 40 48–57
- [46] Alhamwi A, Kleinhans D, Weitemeyer S and Vogt T 2014 Optimal mix of renewable power generation in the MENA region as a basis for an efficient electricity supply to Europe EPJ Web Conferences 79 03004
- [47] Miglietta M M, Huld T and Monforti-Ferrario F 2017 Local complementarity of wind and solar energy resources over Europe: an assessment study from a meteorological perspective J. Appl. Meteorol. Climatol. 56 217–34
- [48] Becker S, Frew B A, Andresen G B, Zeyer T, Schramm S, Greiner M and Jacobson M Z 2014 Features of a fully renewable US electricity system: optimized mixes of wind and solar PV and transmission grid extensions *Energy* 72 443–58



- [49] François B, Hingray B, Raynaud D, Borga M and Creutin J D 2016 Increasing climate-related-energy penetration by integrating run-of-the river hydropower to wind/solar mix *Renew. Energy* 87 686–96
- [50] Adaramola M S, Agelin-Chaab M and Paul S S 2014 Analysis of hybrid energy systems for application in southern Ghana *Energy Convers. Manage.* 88 284–95
- [51] Wild M, Folini D, Henschel F, Fischer N and Mueller B 2015 Projections of long-term changes in solar radiation based on CMIP5 climate models and their influence on energy yields of photovoltaic systems Sol. Energy 116 12–24
- [52] Jerez S, Thais F, Tobin I, Wild M, Colette A, Yiou P and Vautard R 2015 The CLIMIX model: a tool to create and evaluate spatially-resolved scenarios of photovoltaic and wind power development *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 42 1–15
- [53] Parc Éolien Taïba N 2015 Lettre Info Juin 2015 http:// taibaeolien.com/Taiba%20Eolien%20Lettre%20info% 202015%20-%2006.pdf
- [54] Drechsel S, Mayr G J, Messner J W and Stauffer R 2012 Wind speeds at heights crucial for wind energy: measurements and verification of forecasts J. Appl. Meteorol. Climatol. 51 1602–17
- [55] Jacobson M Z et al 2017 100% clean and renewable wind, water, and sunlight all-sector energy roadmaps for 139 countries of the world Joule 1 108–21
- [56] Jacobson M Z, Delucchi M A, Cameron M A and Mathiesen B V 2018 Matching demand with supply at low cost in 139 countries among 20 world regions with 100% intermittent wind, water, and sunlight (WWS) for all purposes *Renew. Energy* 123 236–48
- [57] Devis A, van Lipzig N P M and Demuzere M 2013 A new statistical approach to downscale wind speed distributions at a site in northern Europe J. Geophys. Res. 118 2272–83
- [58] Perkins S E, Pitman A J, Holbrook N J and McAneney J 2007 Evaluation of the AR4 climate models simulated daily maximum temperature, minimum temperature, and precipitation over Australia using probability density functions *J. Clim.* 20 4356–76
- [59] François B, Borga M, Creutin J D, Hingray B, Raynaud D and Sauterleute J F 2016 Complementarity between solar and hydro power: sensitivity study to climate characteristics in Northern Italy *Renew. Energy* 86 543–53
- [60] Bekele G and Tadesse G 2012 Feasibility study of small hydro/ PV/wind hybrid system for off-grid rural electrification in Ethiopia Appl. Energy 97 5–15
- [61] Gils H C, Scholz Y, Pregger T, de Tena D L and Heide D 2017 Integrated modelling of variable renewable energy-based power supply in Europe *Energy* 123 173–88
- [62] Oku I N A 2016 Developing an optimal energy mix: eradication of periodic power crisis in Ghana till 2030 Masters Thesis in Energy Natural Resource and EnvironmentNorwegian School of Economics, Bergen
- [63] Ma T, Yang H, Lu L and Peng J 2015 Optimal design of an autonomous solar-wind-pumped storage power supply system *Appl. Energy* 160 728–36
- [64] Wang X, Mei Y, Cai H and Cong X 2016 A new fluctuation index: characteristics and application to hydro-wind systems *Energies* 9 114
- [65] Beluco A, de Souza P K and Krenzinger A 2008 A dimensionless index evaluating the time complementarity between solar and hydraulic energies *Renew. Energy* 33 2157–65
- [66] Kougias I, Szabó S, Monforti-Ferrario F, Huld T and Bódis K 2016 A methodology for optimization of the complementarity between small-hydropower plants and solar PV systems *Renew. Energy* 87 1023–30
- [67] Brown T W, Bischof-Niemz T, Blok K, Breyer C, Lund H and Mathiesen B V 2018 Response to 'Burden of proof: a comprehensive review of the feasibility of 100% renewableelectricity systems' *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 92 834–47

- [68] Karlson M and Ostwald M 2016 Remote sensing of vegetation in the Sudano-Sahelian zone: a literature review from 1975 to 2014 J. Arid Environ. 124 257–69
- [69] Archer C L and Jacobson M Z 2013 Geographical and seasonal variability of the global practical wind resources *Appl. Geogr.* 45 119–30
- [70] Adjamagbo C, Ngae P, Vianou A and Vigneron V 2011 Modélisation de la demande en énergie électrique au Togo *Rev. Energ. Ren.* 14 67–83
- [71] Blodgett C, Dauenhauer P, Louie H and Kickham L 2017 Accuracy of energy-use surveys in predicting rural mini-grid user consumption *Energy Sustain*. Dev. 41 88–105
- [72] Devis A, van Lipzig N P M and Demuzere M 2018 Should future wind speed changes be taken into account in wind farm development? *Environ. Res. Lett.* 13 064012
- [73] Agustí-Panareda A *et al* 2010 The ECMWF reanalysis for the AMMA observational campaign Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc. 136 1457–72
- [74] Agustí-Panareda A, Beljaars A, Cardinali C, Genkova I and Thorncroft C 2010 Impacts of assimilating AMMA soundings on ECMWF analyses and forecasts Weather Forecast. 25 1142–60
- [75] West African Power Pool 2017 Presentation of WAPP http:// ecowapp.org/en/content/creation-wapp
- [76] Grams C M, Beerli R, Pfenninger S, Staffell I and Wernli H 2017 Balancing Europe's wind-power output through spatial deployment informed by weather regimes *Nat. Clim. Change* (https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3338)
- [77] Denholm P, Wan Y-H, Hummon M and Mehos M 2014 The value of CSP with thermal energy storage in the western United States Energy Procedia 49 1622–31
- [78] Forrester J 2014 The value of CSP with thermal energy storage in providing grid stability *Energy Procedia* **49** 1632–41
- [79] Mehos M, Jorgenson J, Denholm P and Turchi C 2015 An assessment of the net value of CSP systems integrated with thermal energy storage *Energy Procedia* 69 2060–71
- [80] Lunz B, Stöcker P, Pitz-Paal R and Sauer D U 2016 Evaluating the value of concentrated solar power in electricity systems with fluctuating energy sources AIP Conf. Proc. 1734 160010
- [81] Dowling A W, Zheng T and Zavala V M 2017 Economic assessment of concentrated solar power technologies: a review *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 72 1019–32
- [82] Crook J A, Jones L A, Forster P M and Crook R 2011 Climate Change impacts on future photovoltaic and concentrated solar power energy output *Energy Environ. Sci.* 4 3101–9
- [83] Ikejemba E C X and Schuur P C 2016 Locating solar and wind parks in South-Eastern Nigeria for maximum population coverage: a multi-step approach *Renew. Energy* 89 449–62
- [84] Ikejemba E C X and Schuur P C 2016 Designing a nation-wide network of solar and wind-assisted parks for Nigeria and for Ghana Int. J. Energy Environ. Eng. 7 177–98
- [85] Zscheischler J et al 2018 Future climate risk from compound events Nat. Clim. Change 8 469–77
- [86] Megherby K 2018 [Tribune] Pour le décollage des énergies renouvelables en Afrique de l'Ouest http://jeuneafrique.com/ mag/557527/economie/pour-le-decollage-des-energiesrenouvelables-en-afrique-de-louest/
- [87] Pfenninger S 2017 Dealing with multiple decades of hourly wind and PV time series in energy models: a comparison of methods to reduce time resolution and the planning implications of inter-annual variability Appl. Energy 197 1–13
- [88] Georgeson L, Maslin M and Poessinouw M 2017 Global disparity in the supply of commercial weather and climate information services *Sci. Adv.* 3 e1602632
- [89] Adenle A A *et al* 2017 Managing climate change risks in Africa —A global perspective *Ecological Econ*. 141 190–201

